

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES PEEK,

Petitioner,

v.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Respondent.

Case No. 2:24-cv-3421-JDP (P)

ORDER

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

Petitioner is incarcerated in Kern County, which is in the Eastern District of California, and was convicted in Riverside County, which is in the Central District of California.


Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d), courts in both the district of conviction and the district of confinement have concurrent jurisdiction over applications for habeas corpus filed by state prisoners. However, the district of conviction is the preferable forum to review conviction challenges. *See Laue v. Nelson*, 279 F. Supp. 265, 266 (N.D. Cal. 1968) (petition challenging conviction best heard in district of conviction).

1 Accordingly, in the furtherance of justice, it is hereby ORDERED that:

2 1. This matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Central District of
3 California. 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d); 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).

4
5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Dated: December 12, 2024

7 
8 JEREMY D. PETERSON
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28